

ILLUSTRATIVE PROTOTYPE - PUBLIC-SAFE EXAMPLE
SYNTHETIC PROJECT AND DRAWDOWN CONTEXT

Illustrative Construction Drawdown Review

Fischamend, Lower Austria - Vienna Airport logistics park observation area

DEMO-EU-AT-FIS-01 | v0.1 PUBLIC-SAFE RELEASE | Large logistics / industrial real-estate development

STATUS

WATCH - EVIDENCE SUFFICIENCY

CONFIDENCE

HIGH - LARGE ROOFED FOOTPRINT CHANGE ONLY

HUMAN REVIEW

REQUIRED

REVIEW QUESTION

Does the dated public optical evidence directionally support that large roofed structures became visible within the selected observation area between T0 and T1, so that a hypothetical lender reviewer could proceed to documentary review of a synthetic staged-construction question?

ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

The dated imagery supports a limited visible-change finding: large roofed footprints are present at T1 where predominantly bare or construction-affected surface was visible at T0. The selected evidence is suitable for a bounded review question, not for determining an actual construction milestone. Documentary and engineering evidence remain necessary for any institution-specific conclusion.

DECLARED - SYNTHETIC

For this public-safe example, a hypothetical staged review question expects large roofed footprints to be visibly present within the selected observation area by T1. This question is not taken from, and is not attributed to, any actual project contract, financing arrangement, drawdown request or acceptance record.

OBSERVED - DATED PUBLIC EVIDENCE

Between 20 August 2023 and 19 August 2025, two large rectangular roofed structures became visible within the selected observation area, replacing predominantly bare or construction-affected surface.

The imagery directionally supports the synthetic review question. It does not establish building completion, quality, fit-out, occupancy, environmental compliance, contractual acceptance or payment eligibility.

BOUNDED CONCLUSION

The dated public optical evidence supports a limited directional review of the synthetic question. Documentary and engineering evidence remain necessary before any institution-specific conclusion. Drawdown authorisation and credit decisions are outside this Evidence Pack.

INTERPRETATION OF WATCH

WATCH refers to evidence sufficiency and the need for documentary review. It is not a negative project rating and does not indicate delay, breach or default.

MANDATORY QUALIFICATIONS

1. Independent illustrative reconstruction based on public project information and Copernicus observations. No affiliation with, mandate from, or validation by the project owner, operator, tenants or financing institutions is implied.
2. No actual project drawdown request, lender decision, contractual milestone, delay, breach, environmental assessment or project-performance assessment is represented.
3. Contains modified Copernicus Sentinel data 2023 and 2025. Public COG mirror: AWS Registry of Open Data / Earth Search.

DATED EVIDENCE AND REVIEW TRAIL

Same observation area. Two dated public optical scenes.

T0 - 20 AUGUST 2023

S2B_33UXP_20230820_0_L2A



T1 - 19 AUGUST 2025

S2B_33UXP_20250819_1_L2A



EVIDENCE RECORD AND PROVENANCE

T0 | tile cloud 2.953869% | AOI 0.0 cloud/shadow/snow

SAFE:

S2B_MSIL2A_20230820T094549_N0509_R079_T33UXP_20230820T124817.SAFE

SHA-256:

a0dafc4173b0a1800d43c738a97dccbbf555fe261929c5e5d019536bab41cb8f

Retrieval envelope [16.574, 48.117, 16.593, 48.131] - working retrieval envelope only; not a cadastral or project boundary.

T1 | tile cloud 0.00365% | AOI 0.0 cloud/shadow/snow

SAFE:

S2B_MSIL2A_20250819T095029_N0511_R079_T33UXP_20250819T120823.SAFE

SHA-256:

b938f6be662e6ecc996634b854dd28ebfd7607ff37030d0aa0fe878cf5eca6fa

FINDING

Between 20 August 2023 and 19 August 2025, two large rectangular roofed structures became visible within the selected observation area, replacing predominantly bare or construction-affected surface.

UNCERTAINTY AND NON-INFERENCE

The imagery directionally supports the synthetic review question. It does not establish building completion, quality, fit-out, occupancy, environmental compliance, contractual acceptance or payment eligibility.

REASON CODES

VISIBLE_LARGE_STRUCTURE_CHANGE

ROOFED_FOOTPRINTS_DIRECTIONALLY_SUPPORTED

EXACT_PROJECT_BOUNDARY_UNVERIFIED

ENGINEERING_COMPLETION_UNVERIFIED

PAYMENT_ELIGIBILITY_UNVERIFIED

NEXT RESPONSIBLE REVIEW QUESTION

Which dated documentary and engineering records should the responsible reviewer examine to test quantities, acceptance criteria, environmental conditions and any institution-specific payment conditions?

SOURCE REGISTER

Helios Real Estate

AYKA Parx

Copernicus Data Space STAC

HT Generalunternehmer

AYKA Solution

AWS Open Data Sentinel-2 L2A COGs